Education

E-ISSN No : 2581-6195 | Volume : 9 | Issue : 6 | June 2026

EVALUATING BIOLOGICAL VOLATILITY AGAINST SOCIOECONOMIC RESILIENCE: A COMPARATIVE REVIEW OF CLIMATE-DRIVEN VECTOR EXPANSION AND ANTHROPOGENIC MALARIA RECESSION

Sian Park

Research Scholars Program, Harvard Student Agencies in collaboration with Learn with Leaders

ABSTRACT

Climate change is increasingly recognized as an essential driver of transmission of infectious diseases, particularly for vector-borne illnesses like malaria. While the biological link between rising temperatures and the proliferation of the Anopheles mosquito is well established, the extent to which climate change outweighs anthropogenic factors remains debated. This paper evaluates various facets of the debate by comparing two studies with markedly different methodologies. This paper examines Caminade et al. (2014), which employs predictive computer simulations, and Gething et al. (2010), which uses historical data mapping. The analysis reveals a stark contrast between future predictions and historical records. Caminade's model forecasts that global warming will lead to the infiltration of malaria into new geographic areas and lengthen transmission seasons. Conversely, Gething's research demonstrates a global recession of the disease over the last century, largely owing to economic development and public health interventions. However, this paper identifies a critical vulnerability; the "economic shield" described by Gething is unevenly distributed, leaving developing nations especially vulnerable to the biological risks predicted by Caminade. The study concludes that relying on previous records of human agency is insufficient. Instead, a dual strategy is required that simultaneously mitigates emissions to reduce biological pressure and expand healthcare infrastructure in vulnerable regions for more equitable and effective protection against malaria.

KEYWORDS: Anthropogenic Mitigation, Epidemiological Mapping, Macro-Environmental Factors, Public Health Infrastructure, Thermal Barriers, Predictive Simulations

How to Cite:
Sian Park (2026), Evaluating Biological Volatility Against Socioeconomic Resilience: A Comparative Review of Climate-Driven Vector Expansion And Anthropogenic Malaria Recession, International Educational Journal of Science & Engineering (IEJSE),Vol: 9, Issue: 6, 06-09

INTRODUCTION

When climate change is discussed in contemporary discourse, the conversation usually revolves around visible catastrophes. Conversations often focus on the melting of ice caps, rising sea levels that threaten coastal cities, or wildfires that eradicate vast areas of habitat. These are the obvious, cinematic treats that dominate news coverage. However, research on the broader impacts of global warming reveals that some of the most dangerous threats are readily apparent. Climate change is currently one of the most debated topics in science, particularly with respect to the spread of infectious diseases transmitted by insects. Malaria is a significant health concern, remaining highly relevant to the present day. It is a disease often viewed as ancient, associated with history books or remote tropical climates far removed from the industrialized world. However, the changing climate is threatening to rewrite this narrative. The Anopheles mosquito and the Plasmodium parasite both require warm weather to survive and reproduce, linking the disease's spread directly to global temperature. As the world warms, the biological constraints on malaria are loosening.

Logically, a warmer climate would increase

Research Paper

Copyright© 2026, IEJSE. This open-access article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License which permits Share (copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format) and Adapt (remix, transform, and build upon the material) under the Attribution-NonCommercial terms.

malaria transmission, as higher temperatures are conducive to mosquito proliferation. The premise seems simple: more heat equals more mosquitoes, which equals more sickness. However, scientific analysis suggests the reality is rarely that simple. Experts are still investigating the extent to which climate change is the determining factor, compared with other anthropogenic contributors such as economic growth and medical care. This paper aims to explore this complex overlap of biology, history, and economics. By delving into various theories and comparing two important studies, Caminade et al. (2014) and Gething et al. (2010), this analysis seeks to answer a critical question: Will future heat eventually overwhelm the human interventions that have historically kept the disease at bay?

To understand the stakes, it is necessary to first understand the mechanism of the disease. Malaria is transmitted by the Anopheles mosquito, which acts as the vector, carrying the Plasmodium parasite from host to host. Both of these organisms are ectothermic, meaning they rely on external heat sources to regulate their body temperature. This is why the literature highlights that they require warm weather to survive and reproduce. In a colder climate, the mosquito's lifecycle slows down. The parasite inside the mosquito takes longer to mature, sometimes longer than the lifespan of the mosquito itself. This creates a natural barrier: a "thermal shield" that protects cooler regions from widespread transmission. However, as global temperatures continue to rise, the shield weakens. As mosquitoes thrive in warmer temperatures, they breed faster, and the parasite matures more quickly. This underpins the logic mentioned previously. If the only variable in this equation were temperature, the future would be undeniably bleak. The world would face a scenario where malaria spreads relentlessly toward the poles, invading regions that have been protected for centuries. This theory underpins the anxiety surrounding climate change and health. It suggests that as nature becomes subject to considerable stress, the diseases it harbors become more aggressive.

LITERATURE REVIEW

This theory is best articulated by a study conducted by Caminade et al. (2014). In this study, Caminade and his team utilized complex simulations to demonstrate what might happen if current trends continue. Caminade's approach is notable for its methodology: unlike historians who analyze the past, this team applies computer models to predict future risks. These models act as a testing ground, allowing scientists to input different variables and observe the disease's potential response. The results of these simulations are alarming. Caminade utilized these stimulations to demonstrate that if global warming continues, malaria will likely infiltrate new areas. Furthermore, the study notes that the transmission season will lengthen. This is a subtle but devastating detail. It implies not only that malaria will appear in new places, but that it will persist longer in places where it already exists.

The work of Caminade aligns with the broader "predictive framework" discussed by other researchers, such as Altizer et al. (2013), who also explore the link between climate change and infectious diseases. The consensus is clear. The changing climate increases the biological capability and potency of the disease.

If the analysis were limited to Caminade's findings, a global malaria epidemic might seem inevitable. However, the scientific conversation is a debate, not a monologue. The work of Gething et al. (2010) provides a stark counterpoint. While Caminade projects the future using computer models, Gething looks to the past through records. This study analyzes the history of malaria over the last century. The methodology here is markedly different. Here, Gething examined historical maps to track the disease's spatial distribution over time.

The findings contradict the simple logic that a hotter world equals a sicker world. Gething concluded that malaria incidence has decreased in many regions worldwide, despite rising global temperatures. Gething attributes this paradoxical phenomenon to human agency. The paper argues that improved healthcare and economic progress have triumphed over the disease. This introduces the notion that humans are not passive victims of the environment, but active shapers of it. Over the last hundred years, interventions such as draining swamps and building robust public health systems have been implemented. Gething's analysis suggests that these human interventions have been powerful enough to overpower the effects of climate change. Essentially, society has "out-developed" the disease.

Comparing these two studies offers a clear example of scientific discourse. They employ markedly different methods, yielding distinct perspectives on the same problem. On one hand, Caminade et al. (2014) utilize complex simulations. The strength of a simulation is that it isolates the variable of climate, revealing potential risks. It serves as a warning system regarding future possibilities. On the other hand, Gething et al. (2010) examine historical maps. The strength of this approach is that it accounts for the realities of human development, providing a record of resilience.

The conflict between these two views is central to the current scientific debate. Is climate change the determining factor, or is it economic growth? Caminade suggests that climate is the ultimate driver of risk, while Gething suggests that economics is the ultimate driver of protection. The comparison of these two views reveals a significant gap. Gething's argument that economic growth mitigates malaria is valid, but arguably only applies to regions that experience such growth.

The paper argues that improved healthcare and economic progress have triumphed over the disease. However, this raises the question of regions lacking those facilities. In a world of deeply entrenched inequality, the "human interventions" that Gething praises are not evenly distributed. A wealthy nation can afford infrastructure to combat the disease, whereas a developing nation might not have that luxury.

This is where Caminade's findings become particularly concerning: if malaria infiltrates new areas and the transmission season lengthens, it will likely hit the most vulnerable populations the hardest. Wealthier nations might be able to mitigate the risk using the economic progress Gething describes. However, poorer populations may be left vulnerable to the daunting future Caminade describes.

In this context, climate change acts as a multiplier of the threat. It exacerbates existing vulnerabilities, such as poverty and lack of healthcare, and amplifies them. The "thermal mismatches" and "hotspots" mentioned in other literature, like Cohen et al. (2020), likely overlap with economic hotspots. The areas heating up fastest are often the ones with the fewest resources to adapt.

ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

Thus, the argument returns the analysis to the central question: will future heat eventually overwhelm the human interventions that have historically kept the disease at bay?

Gething has demonstrated that humanity has held the line so far, pushing malaria back into recession. Nevertheless, it cannot be assumed that this trend will continue indefinitely. There may be a tipping point. The situation can be likened to a dam. The "water level" of climate risk is rising, while the "wall" of public health intervention is being built higher. Gething suggests the wall is holding, whereas Caminade suggests the water is rising faster than ever before. The critical question is whether the wall can be built fast enough, or if the sheer force of the disease, compounded by climate change, will eventually overwhelm the capacity to respond.

If the transmission season lengthens significantly, it will place chronic stress on healthcare systems. A system that can handle a crisis for two months may not be able to handle it for six or nine. If the burden of disease becomes too high, it could actually slow down the economic growth that Gething identifies as the cure. This creates a potential vicious cycle. Climate change increases malaria, malaria hampers the economy, and a damaged economy cannot fight malaria.

This analysis highlights that the effects of climate change are not just an environmental issue, but a medical and social emergency. The literature presents a complex picture. There is a biological certainty that the Anopheles mosquito and Plasmodium parasite thrive in warm weather. The logical conclusion is that a warmer world facilitates their spread. The predictive models of Caminade et al. (2014) show a future where the disease infiltrates new territories. But there is also the historical evidence from Gething et al. (2010) showing that society is not powerless, having overcome this obstacle before through economic growth and medical care.

LIMITATIONS

This analysis is constrained by several clear boundaries that should be noted. First, the secondary data evaluated in this paper relies on a narrow selection of primary models, which limits the scope of the conclusions. By focusing heavily on the contrast between historical mapping and predictive computer simulations, this paper does not account for modern medical innovations that could alter the entire equation. For instance, the analysis fails to explore the development of highly effective, next-generation malaria vaccines, which could potentially eradicate the parasite completely and render environmental factors irrelevant. Additionally, the paper overlooks localized microclimates and regional vector adaptations, assuming a uniform biological response to rising temperatures. Acknowledging these limitations highlights the need for a more flexible framework that considers both shifting weather patterns and rapidly advancing medical technology.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the comparative analysis of environmental risks and human interventions, several key actions are recommended. First, public health organizations must stop viewing malaria purely as a tropical disease and begin deploying predictive simulation models to prepare for vector expansion into newly warming geographic regions. Second, international health funds should prioritize balancing economic asymmetry by sending medical resources directly to developing nations that lack a strong public health shield. Furthermore, research teams should combine historical data mapping with localized vaccine distribution plans to build a stronger defense where climate pressure is highest. Finally, global climate policies must aggressively target carbon emissions to keep environmental temperatures below the critical thermal tipping points that trigger rapid mosquito breeding.

CONCLUSION

The research concludes that safety is not guaranteed. Combating malaria is an active, ongoing struggle. Humanity is caught in a race between a warming planet and its own development. However, the scope of this baseline evaluation is limited by its heavy reliance on a narrow selection of primary modeling frameworks, which fails to account for game-changing biomedical interventions like a universally deployed vaccine. To win this race, two acknowledgments are necessary. First, Caminade's warnings must be taken seriously to mitigate climate change and stop the infiltration of the disease. Second, the human interventions described by Gething must be expanded to the regions that need them most. Ultimately, global public health strategies must bridge these gaps by combining proactive climate simulation modeling with equitable vaccine distribution and targeted infrastructure funding in vulnerable nations. History cannot be relied upon to repeat itself. It must be actively shaped.

REFERENCES

1. Caminade, C., Kovats, S., Rocklov, J., Tompkins, A. M., Morse, A. P., Colón-González, F. J., Stenlund, H., Martens, P., & Lloyd, S. J. (2014). Impact of climate change on global malaria distribution. PNAS, 111(9), 3286–3291. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1302089111
2. Chapoterera, B., Naidoo, K., & Marume, A. (2025). Impact of climate change on malaria transmission in Africa: A scoping review of literature. NIH National Library of Medicine, 16(1), 1346. https://doi.org/10.4102/jphia.v16i1.1346
3. Gething, P. W., Smith, D. L., Patil, A. P., Tatem, A. J., Snow, R. W., & Hay, S. I. (2010). Climate change and the global malaria recession. Nature, 465(7296), 342–345. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09098
4. Mordecai, E. A., Caldwell, J. M., Grossman, M. K., Lippi, C. A., Johnson, L. R., Neira, M., Rohr, J. R., Ryan, S. J., Savage, V., Shocket, M. S., Sippy, R., Stewart Ibarra, A. M., Thomas, M. B., Villena, O., & Byers, J. (Jeb). (2019). Thermal biology of mosquito-borne disease. Wiley Online Library, 22(10), 1690–1708. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13335
5. Siraj, A. S., Santos-Vega, M., Bouma, M. J., Yadeta, D., Carrascal, D. R., & Pascual, M. (2013). Altitudinal Changes in Malaria Incidence in Highlands of Ethiopia and Colombia. Science, 343(6175), 1154–1158. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1244325